

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/811,290	Applicant(s) TAKIZAWA, TETSURO	
	Examiner ERIC LOONAN	Art Unit 2189	

All Participants:

(1) ERIC LOONAN.

(2) Katherine Vieyra (47,155).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2009

Time: 2:00pm EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2, 7, 12, 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called applicant's representative to discuss some minor claim objections with the claim language. Applicant's representative agreed with Examiner's proposed changes to the claim language. The Examiner stated that the changes would be entered via Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

